

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/597,668	08/09/2007	Egbert Frenken	913/45370/359-PCT-US	4712	
75522 7550 09/02/2008 TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GIANGIORGI, BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, TRUC T	
105 W. ADAM SUITE 3600	IS STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60603			2833		
				1	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/02/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/597,668	FRENKEN, EGBERT		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Truc T. T. Nguyen	2833		

Truc T. T. Nguyen 2833 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspon Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.										
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR TH										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR TH	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
WITICHEVER IS LONGER, FROW ITEM WHATING DATE OF ITEM COMMUNICATION. - Estimation of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 11 (36), in no event, however, may a righy be timely filed after SK (6) MCNITHS from the making date of the communication. - State of the state of the state of the communication of the state of the communication. - Failur to reply within the act or extended period for may will by states, cause the application to become ARADONED (38 U.S.C. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce amend patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 174(b).	date of this co									
Status										
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2008.										
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.										
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution	as to the	merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 2										
Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49-93</u> is/are pending in the application.										
·= ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>60-93</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49-59</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers										
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR										
	See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to.										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to to the attached Office Action of the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of the	r form PT	O-152.								
	r form PT	O-152.								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of		O-152.								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)		O-152.								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:).	O-152.								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.)									
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No)									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a) I b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this)									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).)									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).)									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).)									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.). National S									

- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/06, 4/2/07, 6/23/08.
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

37 CFR 1.75 d(t) The claim or claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the specification and the terms and phrases used in the claims must find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be assertainable by reference to the description (See § 1.58(a).)

In reference to claims 60-93, they are not clearly support in the specification so that the public made aware of what structure would or would not infringe upon applicant's claimed invention.

Specifically, the claimed "displacer", "rigid tubular shank", "cold flow of metal" are not support in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

In reference to claims 60-93, they are not clearly support in the specification so that the public made aware of what structure would or would not infringe upon applicant's claimed invention.

Specifically, the claimed "displacer", "rigid tubular shank", "cold flow of metal" are not support in the specification.

The examiner is not able to construct the claimed feature due to lack of support.

Claims 60-93 will not be further examined.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,668 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 49-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grimm et al. (US 3,133,579).

```
Grimm et al. discloses a nut comprising:
```

a body (16);

undercut (20);

upper delimiting (at 26);

lower delimiting (12);

pressing area (14);

a step edge (a horizontal portion shown adjacent to 20 in Fig. 5).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to True T. T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2011. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 2833

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Renee Luebke can be reached on 571-272-2009. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

//Truc T. T. Nguyen// Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2833